# Program Analysis Path Profiling

#### **Prof. Dr. Michael Pradel**

Software Lab, University of Stuttgart Winter 2023/2024

## **Talks You May Find Interesting**

- Jan 23, Copilot Workspace From Issue Description to
- 9am, Pull Request with AI Assistance All The Way.
- 2.013 Dr. Tamas Szabo (GitHub)
- Jan 29, How Is The Sausage Made? A Whirlwind Tour
- 3:45pm, of V8, Real-World JIT-Compilers, and Their Trade-0.108 Offs. Dr. Daniel Lehmann (Google)
- Feb 1, Code Search and Comprehension. Prof. Dr.
  10am, Kathryn T. Stolee (North Carolina State University)
  2.013
- Feb 1, Software Supply Chains: Open Research Topics.
- 11am, Prof. Dr. Georgios Gousios (TU Delft and Endor2.013 Labs)

## What does this Python code print?

```
def f(x):
    if x == 3:
        return ["hi"]
    else:
        for i in range(x):
        yield i
```

```
print(list(f(3)))
```

Nothing [] ["hi"] [0, 1, 2]

## What does this Python code print?

```
def f(x):
    if x == 3:
        return ["hi"]
    else:
        for i in range(x):
        yield i
```

```
print(list(f(3)))
```



## What does this Python code print?

```
def f(x):
    if x == 3:
        return ["hi"]
    else:
        for i in range(x):
            yield i
                         yield turns the
print(list(f(3)))
                         function into a
                         generator
Nothing
                                    [0, 1, 2]
                      ["hi"]
                                                   3 - 3
```

## Warm-up Quiz

#### What does this Python code print?



# Outline

- **1. Motivation and Challenges**
- 2. Ball-Larus Algorithm for DAGs
- 3. Generalization and Applications

Mostly based on this paper:

*Efficient path profiling*, Ball and Larus, MICRO 1996

Other reading material:

- Whole program paths , Larus, PLDI 1999
- HOLMES: Effective statistical debugging via efficient path profiling, Chilimbi et al., ICSE 2009

# **Path Profiling**

- Goal: Count how often a path through a function is executed
- Interesting for various applications
  - Profile-directed compiler optimizations
  - Performance tuning: Which paths are worth optimizing?
  - □ Test coverage: Which paths are not yet tested?



### Runtime overhead

Limit slowdown of program

### Accuracy

Ideally: Precise profiles (no heuristics, no approximations)

## Infinitely many paths

Cycles in control flow graph



(

# **Edge Profiling**

Naive approach: Edge profiling

- Instrument each branching point
- Count how often each CFG edge is executed
- Estimate most frequent path: Always follow most frequent edge



Frequency of execution Most fraquent path? ACDEF Really? Two possible path profiles Pofile 2 Path Profile 1 <u> 90</u> ACDF 110 40 60 ACDEF ABCDF 0 JOO 100 ABCDEF 0 20 ABDF 20 ABDEF 0

108

# **Edge Profiling**

Naive approach: Edge profiling

- Instrument each branching point
- Count how often each CFG edge is executed
- Estimate most frequent path: Always follow most frequent edge

# **Edge Profiling**

Naive approach: Edge profiling

- Instrument each branching point
- Count how often each CFG edge is executed
- Estimate most frequent path: Always follow most frequent edge

Fails to uniquely identify most frequent path

# Outline

- **1. Motivation and Challenges**
- 2. Ball-Larus Algorithm for DAGs
- 3. Generalization and Applications

Mostly based on this paper:

Efficient path profiling, Ball and Larus, MICRO 1996

Other reading material:

- Whole program paths , Larus, PLDI 1999
- HOLMES: Effective statistical debugging via efficient path profiling, Chilimbi et al., ICSE 2009

# **Ball-Larus Algorithm**

- Assign a number to each path
- Compute path number by incrementing a counter at branching points
- Properties of path encoding
  - Precise: A single unique encoding for each path
  - Minimal: Instruments subset of edges with minimal cost





# **Algorithm for DAGs**

### Assumptions

- Control flow graph is a directed acyclic graph (DAG)
- n paths (numbered 0 to n-1)
- Graph has unique entry and exit nodes
- Artificial back edge from exit to entry



Graph is acyclic /

# **Algorithm: Overview**

## Step 1: Assign integers to edges

- Goal: Sum along a path yields unique number for path
- Enough to achieve "precise" goal

# Step 2: Assign increment operations to edges

- □ Goal: Minimize additions along edges
- Instrument subset of all edges
- Assumes to know/estimate how frequent edges are executed

## **Representing Paths with Sums**

Associate with each node a value:
NumPaths(n) = number of paths from
n to exit

## **Computing** *NumPaths*

- Visit nodes in reverse topological order
- $\Box$  If *n* is leaf node:

NumPaths(n) = 1

□ Else:

NumPaths(n) = sum of NumPaths ofdestination of outgoing edges



Reverse topolog	ical order:
Successor of	n visited before n
Node n	Num Paths (n)
H H	1
E	1
$\mathbb{D}$	1 + 1 = 2
С	2
B	2+2=4
A	4+2=6

## **Representing Paths with Sums (2)**

# For each node in reverse topological order:

■ If *n* is leaf node:

NumPaths(n) = 1

## Else:

 $\Box \ NumPaths(n) = 0$ 

 $\hfill\square$  For each edge  $n\to m$ :

- $Val(n \rightarrow m) = NumPaths(n)$
- NumPaths(n) += NumPaths(m)

A	Ň	Num Paths (n)
2	Ŧ	1
BC	E	1
	$\square$	2
	$\subset$	2
3D	B	2
	A	4
€ →≠	Path	encoding of ABDEF: 3

# **Algorithm: Overview**

## Step 1: Assign integers to edges

- Goal: Sum along a path yields unique number for path
- Enough to achieve "precise" goal

# Step 2: Assign increment operations to edges

- □ Goal: Minimize additions along edges
- Instrument subset of all edges
- Assumes to know/estimate how frequent edges are executed

# **Spanning Tree**

- Given: Graph G
- Spanning tree T:
   Undirected subgraph of G that is a tree and that contains all nodes of G
- **Chord edges:** Edges in G but not in T



# **Increments for Edges**

Goal: Increment sum at subset of edges

- Choose spanning tree with maximum edge cost
  - Cost of individual edges is assumed to be known
- Compute increments at the chords of the spanning tree
  - Based on existing event counting algorithm

## Instrumentation

#### Basic idea

- □ Initialize sum at entry: r=0
- $\square$  Increment at edges: r+=..
- D At exit, increment counter for path: count[r]++

## Optimization

- Initialize with incremented value, if first chord
   edge on path: r=..
- Increment sum and counter for path, if last chord edge on path: count [r+..]++

# **Regenerating the Path**

# Knowing the sum *r*, how to determine the path?

- Use edge values from step 1 ("non-minimal increments")
- Start at entry with R = r
- At branches, use edge with largest value v that is smaller than or equal to R and set R = v



# Outline

- **1. Motivation and Challenges**
- 2. Ball-Larus algorithm for DAGs
- 3. Generalization and Applications

Mostly based on this paper:

Efficient path profiling, Ball and Larus, MICRO 1996

Other reading material:

- Whole program paths , Larus, PLDI 1999
- HOLMES: Effective statistical debugging via efficient path profiling, Chilimbi et al., ICSE 2009

## **Generalizing to Cyclic CFGs**

- For each backedge n → m, add dummy edges
  - $\Box \ Entry \to m$
  - $\square \ n \to Exit$
- Remove backedges and add DAG-based increments
- In addition, add instrumentation to each backedge

□ count[r]++; r=0

## Generalizing to Cyclic CFGs (2)

### Leads to four kinds of paths

- □ From entry to exit
- □ From entry to backedge
- From end of backedge to beginning of (possibly another) backedge
- □ From end of backedge to exit
- Full path information can be constructed from these four kinds

# **Applications**

#### Performance optimization

 Frequent path should get most attention by optimizer

## Statistical debugging

 Paths correlated with failure are more likely to contain the bug

### Energy analysis

 Warn developers about paths and statements associated with high power consumption