Programming Paradigms

Data Abstraction

Prof. Dr. Michael Pradel

Software Lab, University of Stuttgart Summer 2023

Data Abstraction

- Goal: Describe class of memory objects and their associated behavior
- Abstract data type
 - Set of values and set of operations
- Example: Stack
 - Values: Data on stack
 - □ Operations: push, pop, etc.

Classes and Objects

Classes: Form of data abstraction

Encapsulation and information hiding

Objects

 Instances of classes (in class-based PLs, e.g., Java, C++)

 Primary entities (in prototype-based PLs, e.g., Smalltalk, JavaScript)





- Initialization and Finalization
- Dynamic Method Binding

- Code reuse by defining a new abstraction as extension or refinement of an existing abstraction
- Subclass inherits members of superclass
 - Can add members
 - □ Can modify members

Subclasses vs. Subtypes

Are subclasses a subtype of the superclass?

- In principle, no
 - □ Subclassing is about reusing code inside a class
 - □ Subtyping enables code reuse in clients of a class
 - Client written for supertype works with any subtype
- In practice, most PLs merge both concepts

Liskov's Substitutability Principle

Each subtype should behave like the supertype when being used through the supertype

Let B be a subtype of A

- Any object of type A may be replaced by an object of type B
- Clients programming against A will also work
 with objects of type B

"A behavioral notion of subtyping" by B. Liskov and J. Wing, ACM T Progr Lang Sys, 1994



Liskov.java

Modifying Inherited Members

Can a subclass modify inherited members?

Answer depends on the PL

- □ Java: Any method can be overridden
- C++: Only methods declared as virtual by the base class can be overridden



Virtual.cpp

Modifying Inherited Members (2)

- Can a subclass hide inherited members?
 - □ Again, answer depends on the PL
- Java and C#: Subclass can neither increase nor decrease the visibility of members
- Eiffel: Subclass can both restrict and increase visibility

Modifying Inherited Members (3)

Public/protected/private inheritance in C++

- Makes all inherited members at most public/protected/private
- E.g., all members (incl. public members) that are privately inherited are private in the subclass
- Private inheritance does not imply a subtype relationship

Modifying Inherited Members (4)

Accessibility in derived class:

Inheritance	Private members	Protected members	Public members
Protected	Νο	Yes	Yes
Private	Νο	Yes	Yes



Inheritance.cpp

Modifying Inherited Members (4)

More C++ rules

 Subclass can decrease visibility of superclass members, but never increase it

 Subclass can hide superclass methods by deleting them

Quiz: Inheritance

Where is the compilation error (and why)?

```
class A {
 234567
        public:
         void foo() {}
        protected:
         void bar() {}
    };
 8
9
    class B : private A {
    };
10
    class C : public B {
11
        public:
12
        void baz() {
13
             this->bar();
14
         }
15
   };
16
    int main() {
17
         C c;
18
         c.baz();
19
    }
```

Quiz: Inheritance

Where is the compilation error (and why)?

Error: bar is not visible

- B inherits A as private
 class, hence, all
 members are private
- C cannot access
 privatemembers of B

```
class A {
 2
         public:
 34567
         void foo() {}
        protected:
         void bar() {}
    };
 8
9
   class B : private A {
   };
10
    class C : public B {
11
         public:
12
         void baz() {
13
          this->bar();
14
         }
15
    };
16
    int main() {
17
         C c;
18
         c.baz();
19
    }
```

Overview

Inheritance

- Initialization and Finalization
- Dynamic Method Binding

Initialization

- Each class: Zero, one, or more constructors
- Distinguished by
 - □ Number and type of arguments (C++, Java, C#)
 - □ Name of the constructor (Eiffel)

Example: Eiffel Constructors

class COMPLEX creation new_cartesian, new_polar feature {ANY} x, y: REAL

new_cartesian(x_val, y_val : REAL) is
 -- (...) constructor implementation

new_polar(rho, theta : REAL) is
 -- (...) constructor implementation

-- (...) other members end

Implicit vs. Explicit Initialization

- Some PLs (e.g., Java): Constructor must always be called explicitly
- Other PLs (e.g., C++): Constructor sometimes called implicitly
 - Value model of variables: Object must be initialized
 - Declarating a variable implicitly calls
 zero-argument constructor

Implicit vs. Explicit Initialization (2)

Example: Java

```
class Foo { ... }
```

Foo f;

Example: C++

class Foo { ... }

Foo f;

- Uninitialized
 reference to a Foo
 object
- Has value null

- Implicitly initialized
 with Foo's default
 constructor
- Variable contains the object

Superclass Constructors

During initialization of subclass, also initialize inherited superclass fields

```
// Java example
class A { ... }
class B extends A {
   B(int k) {
     super(k);
   }
}
```

// C++ example
class A { ... }

```
class B : public A {
  public:
   B(int k) : A(k) {
    ...
```

Superclass Constructors

During initialization of subclass, also initialize inherited superclass fields

```
// Java example // C++ example
class A { ... }
class B extends A {
   B(int k) {
    super(k);
   }
   Call to super constructor
```

Execution Order of Constructors

- Constructor(s) of base class(es) execute before constructors of subclass
 - □ C++: Implicit in PL
 - □ Java: Enforced by not allowing any statement before super()

In some PLs (e.g., C++), each class can define a destructor

Called when

- Object goes out of scope
- □ delete operator called on object
- Optional, but highly recommended if class dynamically allocates memory
 - Must free memory in destructor
 - (otherwise: memory leak)

Destructors: Example

// C++ example
cout << string("Hi there").length(); // prints 8</pre>

Destructors: Example

// C++ example
cout << string("Hi there").length(); // prints 8</pre>

- First, calls string(const char*)
 constructor
- Afterwards, calls ~string() destructor
 because object goes out of scope

Execution Order of Destructors

- Destructor of subclass called before destructor(s) of superclass(es)
 - Reverse order of constructors
 - Intuition: First clean up added state, then inherited state

Java and C#: No destructors but finalizers

Called immediately before object gets garbage-collected

- □ Use to clean up resources, e.g., file handles
- □ Note: May never be called, e.g., in
 - short-running programs
 - finalize has been deprecated in Java 9



Immortal.java

What does the following C++ code print?

```
class C :
class A {
  public:
                                        public A, private B {
    A() { cout << "A"; }
                                    public:
    ~A() { cout << "~A"; }
                                        C() { cout << "C"; }
                                        <sup>~</sup>C() { cout << "<sup>~</sup>C"; }
};
class B {
                                   };
  public:
                                   int main() {
    B() { cout << "B"; }
    <sup>~</sup>B() { cout << "<sup>~</sup>B"; }
                                     С с;
};
                                   }
```

What does the following C++ code print?

```
class C :
class A {
  public:
                                        public A, private B {
    A() { cout << "A"; }
                                     public:
    ~A() { cout << "~A"; }
                                        C() { cout << "C"; }
                                        <sup>~</sup>C() { cout << "<sup>~</sup>C"; }
};
class B {
                                   };
  public:
                                   int main() {
    B() { cout << "B"; }
    <sup>~</sup>B() { cout << "<sup>~</sup>B"; }
                                     С с;
};
                                   }
```

Result: ABC[~]C[~]B[~]A

What does the following C++ code print?

```
class C :
class A {
  public:
                                          public A, private B {
    A() { cout << "A"; }
                                       public:
    ~A() { cout << "~A"; }
                                          C() { cout << "C"; }
                                          <sup>~</sup>C() { cout << "<sup>~</sup>C"; }
};
class B {
                                     };
  public:
    B() { cout << "B"; }
                                     int main() {
                                       Сс;
     <sup>~</sup>B() { cout << "<sup>~</sup>B"; }
};
                                     }
                                           Implicitly creates
Result: ABC<sup>~</sup>C<sup>~</sup>B<sup>~</sup>A
                                           object of class C
```

What does the following C++ code print?

```
class C :
class A {
  public:
                                     public A, private B {
    A() { cout << "A"; }
                                  public:
    ~A() { cout << "~A"; }
                                     C() { cout << "C"; }
                                     ~C() { cout << "~C"; }
};
class B {
                                };
  public:
                                int main()
    B() { cout << "B"; }
    <sup>~</sup>B() { cout << "<sup>~</sup>B"; }
                                  C c;
};
                                 }
                                          Class with two
                                          superclasses
```

Result: ABC[~]C[~]B[~]A

What does the following C++ code print?

```
class A {
                                   class C :
  public:
                                        public A, private B {
    A() { cout << "A"; }
                                     public:
    ~A() { cout << "~A"; }
                                        C() { cout << "C"; }
                                        <sup>~</sup>C() { cout << "<sup>~</sup>C"; }
};
class B {
                                    };
  public:
                                   int main() {
    B() { cout << "B"; }
     <sup>~</sup>B() { cout << "<sup>~</sup>B"; }
                                      Сс;
};
                                              Constructor
                                              and destructor
```

Result: ABC[~]C[~]B[~]A

What does the following C++ code print?

```
class C :
class A {
  public:
                                          public A, private B {
    A() { cout << "A"; }
                                       public:
    ~A() { cout << "~A"; }
                                          C() { cout << "C"; }
                                          <sup>~</sup>C() { cout << "<sup>~</sup>C"; }
};
class B {
                                     };
  public:
     B() { cout << "B"; }
                                     int main() {
     <sup>~</sup>B() { cout << "<sup>~</sup>B"; }
                                       C c;
};
                                     }
                                             Execution order of
                                             constructors and
Result: ABC<sup>~</sup>C<sup>~</sup>B<sup>~</sup>A
                                             destructors
                                                                     29 - 6
```

Overview

Inheritance

- Initialization and Finalization
- Dynamic Method Binding

Static vs. Dynamic Method Binding

- Given: Subclass that defines a method already defined in the superclass
- How to decide which method gets called?
 - □ Based on type of variable
 - □ Based on type of object the variable refers to

```
class person { ... }
class student : public person { ... }
class professor : public person { ... }
```

void person: :print_mailing_label() { ... }
void student: :print_mailing_label() { ... }
void professor: :print_mailing_label() { ... }

```
student s;
professor p;
person *x = &s;
person *y = &p;
s.print_mailing_label();
p.print_mailing_label();
x->print_mailing_label();
y->print_mailing_label();
```

```
class person { ... }
class student : public person { ... }
class professor : public person { ... }
void person: :print_mailing_label() { ... }
void student::print_mailing_label() { ... }
void professor: :print_mailing_label() { ... }
student s;
professor p;
                  Subclasses also define method
person *x = \&s;
                  print_mailing_label
person *y = \&p;
s.print_mailing_label();
p.print_mailing_label();
x->print_mailing_label();
y->print_mailing_label();
```

```
class person { ... }
class student : public person { ... }
class professor : public person { ... }
```

void person: :print_mailing_label() { ... }
void student: :print_mailing_label() { ... }
void professor: :print_mailing_label() { ... }

```
class person { ... }
class student : public person { ... }
class professor : public person { ... }
```

void person: :print_mailing_label() { ... }
void student: :print_mailing_label() { ... }
void professor: :print_mailing_label() { ... }

```
student s;
professor p;
person *x = &s;
person *y = &p;
s.print_mailing_label();
p.print_mailing_label();
x->print_mailing_label();
y->print_mailing_label();
```

```
class person { ... }
class student : public person { ... }
class professor : public person { ... }
```

void person: :print_mailing_label() { ... }
void student: :print_mailing_label() { ... }
void professor: :print_mailing_label() { ... }

Static Method Binding

Answer 1: Bind methods based on type of variable

- □ Can be statically resolved (i.e., at compile time)
- Will call print_mailing_label of person because x and y are pointers to person

Dynamic Method Binding

- Answer 2: Bind methods based on type of object the variable refers to
 - In general, cannot be resolved at compile time, but only at runtime
 - Will call print_mailing_label of student
 for x because x points to a student project
 (and likewise for y and professor)

Pros and Cons

Static method binding

- No performance
 penalty because
 resolved at
 compile-time
- But: Subclass
 cannot control its
 own state

Dynamic method binding

- Subclass can
 control its state
- But: Performance
 penalty of runtime
 method dispatch

Example (C++)

```
class text_file {
   char *name;
   long position;
   public:
   void seek(long offset) {
      // (...)
   }
};
```

class read_ahead_text_file : public text_file {
 char *upcoming_chars;
 public:
 void seek(long offset) {
 // redefinition
 }
}

Example (C++)

```
class text_file {
                             Subclass needs to change
  char *name;
  long position;
                               upcoming_chars in seek
  public:
 void seek (long offset) { But with static method binding,
    // (...)
                               cannot guarantee that it gets
};
                               called
class read_ahead_text_file : public text_file {
  char *upcoming_chars;
  public:
  void seek(long offset) {
     // redefinition
```



Static method binding

Dynamic method binding

Dynamic binding for all methods: Smalltalk, Python, Ruby



Dynamic binding by default, but method or class can be marked as not overridable: Java, Eiffel



Static binding by default, but programmer can specify dynamic binding: C++, C#

Java, Eiffel: Final/frozen Methods

Mark individual methods (or classes) as non-overridable

- □ Java: final keyword for methods and classes
- □ Eiffel: frozen keyword for individual methods

C++, C#: Overriding vs. Redefining

Override method: Dynamic binding Redefine methods with same name: Static binding

- C++: Superclass must mark method as virtual to allow overriding
- C#: Subclass must mark method with override to override the superclass method



Virtual.cpp

Quiz: Method Binding

Pseudo code
class A:
 void foo():

```
void bar():
    print("a")
```

```
class B extends A:
  void bar():
    print("b")
```

```
A x = new B()
B y = x
x.bar() # call 1
y.bar() # call 2
```

What is printed when

- a) PL uses dynamic method binding
- b) PL uses static method binding

Quiz: Method Binding

Pseudo code
class A:
 void foo():

```
void bar():
    print("a")
```

```
class B extends A:
  void bar():
    print("b")
```

A x = new B()

x.bar() # call

y.bar() # call 2

B y = x

What is printed when

a) PL uses dynamic method binding

b) PL uses static method binding

```
41 - 2
```

Quiz: Method Binding

Pseudo code class A: void foo(): void bar(): print("a") class B extends A: void bar(): print("b") A x = new B()B y = xx.bar() # call 1 y.bar() # call 2

What is printed when

a) PL uses dynamic method binding

b) PL uses static method binding

With dynamic method binding, how does the program find the right method to call?

Most common implementation:
 Virtual method table ("vtable")

- Every object points to table with its methods
- Table is shared among all instances of a class

class for
$$\{$$

int a;
double d;
chor c;
public:
virtual void $L()$ $\{\dots\}$
virtual void $L()$ $\{\dots\}$
virtual void $L()$ $\{\dots\}$
 $\{\dots\}$
 $\{ 00 :: h$
 $\{ 00 :: h$

call *r2

96

Implementation of Inheritance

- Representation of subclass instance, including its vtable: Fully compatible with superclass
 - Can use subclass instance like a superclass instance without additional code



βB;

Quiz: Data Abstraction

Which of the following is true?

- Java enforces Liskov's substitutability principle.
- Static and dynamic method binding matter only in PLs that support inheritance.
- Subtyping is about code reuse in clients, subclassing is about code reuse in classes.
- In C++, destructors implicitly free all memory allocated in the constructor.

Quiz: Data Abstraction

Which of the following is true?

- Java enforces Liskov's substitutability principle.
- Static and dynamic method binding matter only in PLs that support inheritance.
- Subtyping is about code reuse in clients, subclassing is about code reuse in classes.
- In C++, destructors implicitly free all memory allocated in the constructor.