Programming Paradigms Concurrency (Part 2)

Prof. Dr. Michael Pradel

Software Lab, University of Stuttgart Summer 2023

```
class Warmup {
  static boolean flag = true;
  static void raiseFlag() {
    flag = false;
  }
 public static void main(String[] args)
      throws Exception {
    ForkJoinPool.commonPool()
      .execute(Warmup::raiseFlag);
    while (flag) {};
    System.out.println(flag);
```

```
class Warmup {
  static boolean flag = true;
  static void raiseFlag() {
    flag = false;
  }
 public static void main(String[] args)
      throws Exception {
                                        raiseFlag:
    ForkJoinPool.commonPool()
      .execute(Warmup::raiseFlag);

    executed in

    while (flag) {};
                                        concurrent
    System.out.println(flag);
                                        thread
```

```
class Warmup {
  static boolean flag = true;
  static void raiseFlag() {
    flag = false;
  public static void main(String[] args)
      throws Exception {
                                        Shared variable
    ForkJoinPool.commonPool()
      .execute(Warmup::raiseFlag);
                                        accessed by
    while (flag) {};
                                        two threads
    System.out.println(flag);
```

```
class Warmup {
  static boolean flag = true;
  static void raiseFlag() {
    flag = false;
  }
 public static void main(String[] args)
      throws Exception {
                                    Problem: No
    ForkJoinPool.commonPool()
      .execute (Warmup: :raiseFlag);
                                    synchronization.
    while (flag) {};
                                    Hence, main
    System.out.println(flag);
                                    thread may read
                                    old value
```

```
class Warmup {
  static boolean flag = true;
  static void raiseFlag() {
    flag = false;
  }
 public static void main(String[] args)
      throws Exception {
    ForkJoinPool.commonPool()
      .execute(Warmup::raiseFlag);
    while (flag) {};
    System.out.println(flag);
                        Code may hang forever,
                        print true, or print false!
```

Overview

Introduction

- Concurrent Programming
 Fundamentals
- Implementing Synchronization
- Language-level Constructs

Synchronization

Two high-level goals

Make some operation atomic: Multiple
 instructions of a thread appear to other threads
 as always executing together

- Mutually exclusive locks: Ensure that only one thread enters a critical section at a time
- Condition synchronization: Delay some operation until some precondition holds

Synchronization vs. Parallelism

- Inherent trade-off in concurrent software
 - Synchronization is needed to ensure
 correctness of computation
 - Synchronization reduces the amount of possible parallelism

Busy-Wait Synchronization

Spin locks

Provide mutual exclusion

Barriers

 No thread continues until all threads have reached a specific point

- Goal: Ensure mutual exclusion
- In principle: Can implement with only load and store operations
 - But: Super-linear time and space requirements
- In practice: Implemented using special hardware instructions
 - Read, modify, and write a memory location as one atomic step

Test-and-Set

Instruction that

sets a boolean variable to true and

returns whether it was false before

Spin-lock implementation:

// Pseudo code
while not test_and_set(L)
 // nothing (spin)

Test-and-Set

Instruction that

sets a boolean variable to true and

returns whether it was false before

Spin-lock implementation:

// Pseudo code
while not test_and_set(L)
 // nothing (spin)

Problem: Repeated writes when lock is already acquired harms performance ("contention")



Test and Test-and-Set

Avoid contention caused by repeated writes

Spin-lock implementation:

// Pseudo code boolean L = false

procedure acquire_lock(L)
while not test_and_set(L)
while L
// nothing (spin)

procedure release_lock(L)
L = false

Test and Test-and-Set

Avoid contention caused by repeated writes

Spin-lock implementation:

// Pseudo code boolean L = false

procedure acquire_lock(L)
while not test_and_set(L)
while L
// nothing (spin)

procedure release_lock(L)
L = false

When another threads holds the lock, reads repeatedly (which is fast due to caching)

Barrier

- Goal: Ensure that all threads finish one phase before entering the next
 Implementation based on atomic
 - fetch-and-decrement
 - □ Shared counter initialized to n
 - n .. number of threads
 - Decrement when a thread reaches the barrier
 - Last to arrive flips a shared boolean, which all others are waiting for

```
integer n = // nb of threads
boolean sense = true
local_sense = true // thread-local variable
```

```
procedure barrier()
  local_sense = not local_sense
  if fetch_and_decrement(count) == 1
    count = n
    sense = local_sense
  else
    repeat
    // spin
    until sense == local sense
```

integer n = // nb of threads indicate whether all
boolean sense = true + threads can proceed
local sense = true // thread-local variable

Shared flag to

```
procedure barrier()
  local_sense = not local_sense
  if fetch_and_decrement(count) == 1
    count = n
    sense = local_sense
  else
    repeat
    // spin
    until sense == local_sense
```

```
integer n = // nb of threads
boolean sense = true
local sense = true // thread-local variable
procedure barrier()
  local sense = not local_sense
  if fetch_and_decrement(count) == 1
    count = n
                              Local and global flag
    sense = local sense
  else
                              are the same means all
    repeat
                              threads can proceed
     // spin
    until sense == local_sense
```

```
integer n = // nb of threads
boolean sense = true
local sense = true // thread-local variable
procedure barrier()
  local sense = not local sense
  if fetch and decrement (count) == 1
    count = n -
    sense = local sense
                                   Reinitialize for
  else
                                   next iteration
    repeat
      // spin
    until sense == local sense
```

```
integer n = // nb of threads
boolean sense = true
local sense = true // thread-local variable
procedure barrier()
  local sense = not local sense
  if fetch and decrement (count) == 1
    count = n
    sense = local sense
  else
    repeat
                                   Allow other threads
      // spin
                                  to proceed
    until sense == local sense
```

Quiz: Barriers in Java

```
class Barrier {
    static CyclicBarrier barrier;
    static class Worker implements Runnable {
        public void run() {
            try {
                System.out.println("a");
                barrier.await();
                System.out.println("b");
                System.out.println("c");
                barrier.await();
            } catch (Exception e) { return; }
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        barrier = new CyclicBarrier(3);
        for (int i = 0; i < 3; i++) {
            new Thread(new Worker()).start();
        }
```

Quiz: Barriers in Java

```
class Barrier {
    static CyclicBarrier barrier;
    static class Worker implements Runnable {
       public void run() {
                                               Subset of
           try {
               System.out.println("a");
                                               possible
               barrier.await();
                                               outputs:
                System.out.println("b");
                System.out.println("c");
                                               aaabbbccc,
               barrier.await();
            } catch (Exception e) { return; }
                                               aaabcbcbc,
                                               aaabbccbc
   public static void main(String[] args) {
       barrier = new CyclicBarrier(3);
        for (int i = 0; i < 3; i++) {
           new Thread(new Worker()).start();
```

Memory Consistency

When multiple locations are written concurrently, when do the writes become visible to other threads?

Most programmers expect sequential consistency

- Each thread's instructions execute in the specified order
- Shared memory behaves like a global array: Reads and writes are done immediately

Relaxed Memory Models

- In practice: Some reads and writes may occur "out of order"
 - □ Ensuring sequential consistency: Inefficient
 - Instead, hardware and compilers reorder and delay some instructions
 - $\hfill\square$ E.g., store into location that is not in CPU cache
 - Takes hundreds of cycles to complete
 - Processor completes it "in the background"
 - Loads on same core see it via write buffer

luitially: inspected = for x = 0	lse		Under relaxed menopo model:
$\frac{Core}{1} \frac{A}{1 \text{ inspected}} = true$ $2 \times a = x$	$\frac{\text{Core } B}{3 \times = 7}$ $\frac{4}{16} = 1$	nspected	xa=0 ib=false -> lores read 'old'
Order of executed instructions under sequential consistincy	- Fina Xa	ib the	values
1 2 3 4 1 3 4 2	1	tme true	
1 3 2 4 3 4 1 2	1	false	
3 1 2 4 3 1 4 2	1	true tme	

Memory Models of PLs

- Different hardware: Different reordering behavior
- PLs want to provide the same guarantees everywhere
- PLs defines their own memory model
 - E.g., Java memory model or C11 memory model
 - PL implementation: Add fences, i.e.,
 instructions to synchronize memory accesses

Java Memory Model

- By default, writes to shared objects are not immediately visible to other threads
 - Other thread may read any old value
- Enforce visibility by explicit synchronization
 - □ Mark fields as volatile
 - Order write and read via synchronized block

Example (Again)

```
class Warmup {
  static boolean flag = true;
  static void raiseFlag() {
    flag = false;
  }
  public static void main(String[] args)
      throws Exception {
    ForkJoinPool.commonPool()
      .execute(Warmup::raiseFlag);
    while (flag) {};
    System.out.println(flag);
```

Code may hang forever, print true, or print false!

Overview

Introduction

- Concurrent Programming
 Fundamentals
- Implementing Synchronization
- Language-level Constructs

Synchronization Constructs in PLs

- Various PL constructs to synchronize concurrent threads
 - Monitors
 - Conditional critical regions
 - Synchronization in Java
 - Transactional memory
 - Implicit synchronization

Monitors

Object with operations, internal state, and condition variables

- □ Only one operation is active at any given time
- □ Calls to a busy monitor:
 - Delayed until monitor free
- Operations may wait on a condition variable
- Operations may signal a condition variable to allow others to resume

Example: Bounded Buffer

```
monitor bounded buf
  buf : array [1..SIZE] of bdata
  next_full, next_empty : integer := 1, 1
  full_slots : integer := 0
  full_slot, empty_slot : condition
  fun insert(d : bdata)
    if full slots = SIZE
      wait (empty_slot)
    buf[next_empty] := d
    next_empty := next_empty mod SIZE + 1
    full slots +:= 1
    signal(full_slot)
  fun remove() : bdata
    if full slots = 0
      wait (full slot)
    d : bdata := buf[next_full]
    next_full := next_full mod SIZE + 1
    full_slots -:= 1
    signal (empty_slot)
    return d
```

Conditional Critical Regions

Syntactically delimited critical section

- Permitted to access a protected variable
- Condition that must be true before entering the region

Syntax (pseudo code):

```
region protected_var when condition do
    // ...
end region
```

- Every object can serve as a mutual exclusion lock
- synchronized keyword to acquire and release locks
 - synchronized blocks: Define a critical section
 - synchronized methods: Entire method is a critical section



Synchronized.java

Code in a critical section can

```
□ ... wait for another thread:
```

```
while (!someCondition) {
    wait();
}
```

□ ... signal another thread that it can proceeed:

notify();

- Code in a critical section can
 - □ ... wait for another thread:



□ ... signal another thread that it can proceeed:

notify();

Code in a critical section can

```
□ ... wait for another thread:
```

```
while (!someCondition) {
    wait();
}
```

□ ... signal another thread that it can proceeed:

Wakes up one of the threads that wait in a critical section with the same lock as that hold by the current thread

Code in a critical section can

```
□ ... wait for another thread:
```

```
while (!someCondition) {
    wait();
}
```

□ ... signal another thread that it can proceeed:

notify();

While loop needed: Threads may also be woken up for spurious reasons or after a delay

- Java memory model: Each Java thread may buffer or reorder its writes until
 - □ ... it writes a volatile variable,
 - In the intervalue of the in
- Must use some synchronization to ensure threads writes become visible

Example

```
class Warmup {
  static boolean flag = true;
  static void raiseFlag() {
    flag = false;
  }
 public static void main(String[] args)
      throws Exception {
    ForkJoinPool.commonPool()
      .execute(Warmup::raiseFlag);
    while (flag) {};
    System.out.println(flag);
```

Code may hang forever, print true, or print false!

Example

```
Fix: Make field volatile
class Warmup {
  static volatile boolean flag = true;
  static void raiseFlag() {
    flag = false;
  }
  public static void main(String[] args)
      throws Exception {
    ForkJoinPool.commonPool()
      .execute(Warmup::raiseFlag);
    while (flag) {};
    System.out.println(flag);
```

Code will always print false

Transactional Memory

Atomicity without locks atomic { // critical section }

PL implementation will

- □ ... speculatively execute the code block
- ... check for conflicts, i.e., concurrent accesses
 to shared data
- □ ... commit the results if no conflict
- □ ... roll back (and try again later) otherwise

Implicit Synchronization

- Compiler determines dependencies between concurrently executed code fragments
 - Automatically add synchronization whenever needed
 - Parallelize independent code fragments
- Extremely difficult in practice
 - Auto-parallelization remains an open challenge

Quiz: Concurrency

Which of the following is true?

- PLs with a memory models are sequentially consistent.
- A relaxed memory model allows writes to be re-ordered.
- Test-and-set is used to implement spin locks.
- Client code of a monitor must use barriers to ensure correctness.

Quiz: Concurrency

Which of the following is true?

- PLs with a memory models are sequentially consistent.
- A relaxed memory model allows writes to be re-ordered.
- Test-and-set is used to implement spin locks.
- Client code of a monitor must use barriers to ensure correctness.

Overview

Introduction

- Concurrent Programming
 Fundamentals
- Implementing Synchronization
- Language-level Constructs

