

Programming Paradigms

Type Systems (Part 2)

Prof. Dr. Michael Pradel

Software Lab, University of Stuttgart

Summer 2022

Quiz: Type Equivalence

Which of the following statements is true?

- Types are compatible if and only if they are equal.
- A type conversion always preserves the information stored in a value.
- Two types can be equivalent only if they share the same name and structure.
- With loose name equivalence, alias types are distinct types.

Quiz: Type Equivalence

Which of the following statements is true?

- ~~Types are compatible if and only if they are equal.~~
- ~~A type conversion always preserves the information stored in a value.~~
- ~~Two types can be equivalent only if they share the same name and structure.~~
- ~~With loose name equivalence, alias types are distinct types.~~

Overview

- **Introduction**
- **Types in Programming Languages**
- **Polymorphism**
- **Type Equivalence**
- **Type Compatibility** ←
- **Formally Defined Type Systems**

Type Compatibility

- Check whether **combining two values** is **valid according to their types**
- **“Combining”** may mean
 - **Assignment**: Are left-hand side and right-hand side compatible?
 - **Operators**: Are operands compatible with the operator and with each other?
 - **Function calls**: Are actual arguments and formal parameters compatible?

Compatible \neq Equal

Most PLs: Types may be **compatible**
even when **not the same**

Example (C):

```
double d = 2.3;  
float f = d * 2;  
int i = f;  
printf("%d\n", i);
```

Compatible \neq Equal (2)

- Rules of PL define which types are compatible
- Examples of rules
 - Can assign subtype to supertype:
`lhs = rhs;`
 - Different number types are compatible with each other
 - Collections of same type are compatible, even if length differs

Type Conversions

When **types aren't equal**, they must be **converted**

- Option 1: **Cast = explicit type conversion**
 - Programmer changes value's type from T1 to T2
- Option 2: **Coercion = implicit type conversion**
 - PL allows values of type T1 in situation where type T2 expected
- For both options:
Actual conversion happens at runtime

Coercions in C

- Most **primitive types** are **coerced** whenever needed
- Some coercions cause **information loss**
 - `float to int`: Loose fraction
 - `int to char`: Causes `char` to overflow (and will give unexpected result)
- **Enable compiler warnings to avoid surprises**

Coercions in C

Implicit Type Conversion



Surprises

Source: geeksforgeeks.org

Coercions in JavaScript

- **Almost all types are coerced when needed**
 - Rationale: Websites shouldn't crash
- **Some coercions make sense:**
 - `"number:" + 3` yields `"number:3"`
- **Many others are far from intuitive:**
 - `[1, 2] << "2"` yields `0`

More details and examples:

The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly: An Empirical Study of Implicit Type Conversions in JavaScript. Pradel and Sen. ECOOP 2015

Quiz: Coercions in C

What does the following C code print?

```
float d = 3.1416;  
int l = d;  
d = l;  
char c = d;  
bool b = c;  
  
printf("d=%f, ", d);  
printf("l=%d, ", l);  
printf("c=%d, ", c);  
printf("b=%d\n", b);
```

Quiz: Coercions in C

What does the following C code print?

```
float d = 3.141592;
int l = d;    // coercion to integer 3
d = l;       // fraction lost, d is 3.0
char c = d;   // fits into 8 bytes of a char
bool b = c;   // coercion to true

printf("d=%f, ", d); // 3.000000
printf("l=%d, ", l); // 3
printf("c=%d, ", c); // 3
printf("b=%d\n", b); // 1
```

Overview

- **Introduction**
- **Types in Programming Languages**
- **Polymorphism**
- **Type Equivalence**
- **Type Compatibility**
- **Formally Defined Type Systems** ←

Formally Defined Type Systems

- **Type systems are**
 - implemented in a compiler
 - formally described
 - and sometimes both
- **Active research area with dozens of papers each year**
 - Focus: New languages and strong type guarantees
- **Example here: Typed expressions**

Typed Expressions: Syntax

$t ::=$ true |
 false |
 if t then t else t |
 0 |
 succ t |
 pred t |
 iszero t

(semantics: not formally defined)

Examples:

succ 0 (= 1)

if (iszero (pred (succ 0)))
 then 0
 else (succ 0) (= 0)

Not All Expressions Make Sense

- Only **some expressions** can be evaluated
 - Other don't make sense
 - Implementation of the language would **get stuck** or throw a **runtime error**

Types to the Rescue

- Use **types to check** whether an **expression is meaningful**

- If term t has a type T , then its evaluation won't get stuck

- Written as $t : T$  "has type"

- **Two types**

- *Nat* .. natural numbers
- *Bool* .. Boolean values

Examples:

if (iszero 0) then true else 0

succ (if 0 then true else (pred (false)))

} expression that
don't make sense

if true then false else true : Bool

pred (succ (succ 0)) : Nat

-

Type Rules

Background: $\frac{A}{B}$.. rule
 ..
 if A true,
 then B is true

$\frac{}{B}$.. axiom
 ..
 B is always true

Bool: $\frac{}{\text{true} : \text{Bool}}$ (T-True)

Nat: $\frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}}$ (T-zero)

$\frac{}{\text{false} : \text{Bool}}$ (T-False)

$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{succ } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$ (T-Succ)

$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}$ (T-If)

$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{pred } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$ (T-Pred)

$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{iszero } t_1 : \text{Bool}}$ (T-IsZero)

Type Checking Expressions

- **Typing relation**: Smallest binary relation between terms and types that satisfies all instances of the rules
- Term t is **typable (or well typed)** if there is some T such that $t : T$
- **Type derivation**: Tree of instances of the typing rules that shows $t : T$

Type Derivation : Example 1

$$\frac{\frac{}{\text{true} : \text{Bool}} \text{ (T-True)} \quad \frac{}{\text{false} : \text{Bool}} \text{ (T-false)} \quad \frac{}{\text{true} : \text{Bool}} \text{ (T-True)}}{\text{if true then false else true} : \text{Bool}} \text{ (T-if)}$$

if true then false else true : Bool



Example 2

Can't apply any axiom or rule.
Expression is not well typed!

$\frac{??}{0: \text{Bool}}$
 $\frac{??}{\text{true}: \text{Nat}}$
 $\frac{..}{\text{pred false}: \text{Nat}}$

(T-If)

$\text{if } 0 \text{ then true else (pred false)} : \text{Nat}$

$\text{succ (if } 0 \text{ then true else (pred false))} : \text{Nat}$

(T-Succ)

Quiz: Typing Derivation

Find the typing derivation for the following expression:

```
if true then (succ(pred 0)) else (succ 0)
```

How many axioms and rules do you need to apply?

3 axioms, 4 rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{true: Bool} \quad \text{succ (pred 0): Nat} \quad \text{succ 0: Nat} \\
 \hline
 \text{if true then (succ (pred 0)) else (succ 0): Nat}
 \end{array}$$

(T-True) (T-Pred) (T-Succ) (T-Succ) (T-If)

(T-Zero) (T-Zero)