

Programming Paradigms

Type Systems (Part 6)

Prof. Dr. Michael Pradel

Software Lab, University of Stuttgart

Summer 2020

Overview

- Introduction
- Types in Programming Languages
- Polymorphism
- Type Equivalence
- Type Compatibility
- Formally Defined Type Systems 

Formally Defined Type Systems

- **Type systems are**
 - implemented in a compiler
 - formally described
 - and sometimes both
- **Active research area with dozens of papers each year**
 - Focus: New languages and strong type guarantees
- **Example here: Typed expressions**

Typed Expressions : Syntax

$t ::=$ true |
 false |
 if t then t else t |
 0 |
 succ t |
 pred t |
 iszero t

(semantics: not formally defined)

Examples

succ 0 (= 1)

if (iszero (pred (succ 0)))
 then 0
 else (succ 0) (= 0)

Not All Expressions Make Sense

- Only **some expressions** can be evaluated
 - Other don't make sense
 - Implementation of the language would **get stuck** or throw a **runtime error**

Types to the Rescue

- Use **types to check** whether an **expression is meaningful**

- If term t has a type T , then its evaluation won't get stuck

- Written as $t : T$  "has type"

- **Two types**

- *Nat* .. natural numbers
- *Bool* .. Boolean values

Examples

if (iszero 0) then true else 0

succ (if 0 then true else (pred false))

} expression that
don't make sense

if true then false else true : Bool

pred (succ (succ 0)) : Nat

Type Rules

Background: $\frac{A}{B}$.. rule
 ⋮
 if A is true,
 then B is true

$\frac{}{B}$.. axiom
 ⋮
 B is always true

Bool: $\frac{}{\text{true} : \text{Bool}}$ (T-True)

$\frac{}{\text{false} : \text{Bool}}$ (T-False)

$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}$

Nat: $\frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}}$ (T-Zero)

$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{succ } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$ (T-Succ)

$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{pred } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$ (T-Pred)

$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{iszero } t_1 : \text{Bool}}$ (T-IsZero)

Type Checking Expressions

- **Typing relation**: Smallest binary relation between terms and types that satisfies all instances of the rules
- Term t is **typable (or well typed)** if there is some T such that $t : T$
- **Type derivation**: Tree of instances of the typing rules that shows $t : T$

Type Derivation : Example 1

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\text{true} : \text{Bool}} \text{ (T-True)} \quad \frac{}{\text{false} : \text{Bool}} \text{ (T-False)} \quad \frac{}{\text{true} : \text{Bool}} \text{ (T-True)} \\
 \hline
 \text{if true then false else true} : \text{Bool} \quad \text{ (T-If)}
 \end{array}$$


Example 2:

Can't apply any axiom or rule.
 Expression is not well typed!

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} \text{??} \\ \hline \text{0: Bool} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{??} \\ \hline \text{true: Nat} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \hline \text{pred false: Nat} \end{array} \\
 \hline
 \text{if 0 then true else (pred false) : Nat} & & \text{(T-If)} \\
 \hline
 \text{succ (if 0 then true else (pred false)) : Nat} & & \text{(T-Succ)}
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Quiz: Typing Derivation

Find the typing derivation for the following expression:

`if false then (pred(pred 0)) else (succ 0)`

How many axioms and rules do you need?

Quiz:

3 axioms, 4 rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\text{false} : \text{Bool}} \text{ (T-False)} \qquad \frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{ (T-zero)} \\
 \frac{}{\text{pred } 0 : \text{Nat}} \text{ (T-Pred)} \qquad \frac{0 : \text{Nat}}{\text{pred } 0 : \text{Nat}} \text{ (T-Pred)} \qquad \frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{ (T-zero)} \\
 \frac{}{\text{pred } (\text{pred } 0) : \text{Nat}} \text{ (T-Pred)} \qquad \frac{}{\text{succ } 0 : \text{Nat}} \text{ (T-zero)} \\
 \hline
 \text{if false then } (\text{pred } (\text{pred } 0)) \text{ else } (\text{succ } 0) : \text{Nat} \qquad \text{ (T-if)}
 \end{array}$$

•
•

Type Inference

Some PLs are **statically typed** but allow programmers to **omit some type annotations**

- Get **guarantees** of static type checking
- Without paying the cost of full type annotations
- Different from gradual typing, where programmer decides when and where to annotate types

Example

```
// Scala
```

```
var businessName = "Montreux Jazz Cafe"
```

```
def squareOf(x: Int) = x * x
```

```
businessName = squareOf(23)
```

Example

**Inferred to
be a String**

```
// Scala  
var businessName = "Montreux Jazz Cafe"
```

**Inferred to
return an Int**

```
def squareOf(x: Int) = x * x  
businessName = squareOf(23)
```

Example

Inferred to
be a `String`

```
// Scala  
var businessName = "Montreux Jazz Cafe"
```

```
def squareOf(x: Int) = x * x
```

Inferred to
return an `Int`

```
businessName = squareOf(23)
```

Compile-time type error:
Can't assign `Int` to `String` variable

Quiz: Types

Which of the following statements is true?

- Types are compatible if and only if they are equal
- Coercions mean that a programmer casts a value from one type to another type
- Type conversions are guaranteed to preserve the meaning of a value
- PLs with type inference may provide static type guarantees

Please vote in Ilias.

Quiz: Types

Which of the following statements is true?

- ~~Types are compatible if and only if they are equal~~
- ~~Coercions mean that a programmer casts a value from one type to another type~~
- ~~Type conversions are guaranteed to preserve the meaning of a value~~
- PLs with type inference may provide static type guarantees

Please vote in Ilias.